

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 05/16/2001 Edward M. Scheidt 09/858,326 STS 133 2783 EXAMINER 49691 03/06/2006 7590 **IP STRATEGIES** KHOSHNOODI, NADIA 12 1/2 WALL STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE I ASHEVILLE, NC 28801 2137

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, Serie			6)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	09/858,326	SCHEIDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nadia Khoshnoodi	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months from the period for reply expires 3 months fr</li></ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	I ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	t the tinal rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	<b>)</b> .		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the as after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	mor torm to appear a, materially		,
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	s):		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	)	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants contend that it is not clear that Fieres et al. teach that a code image is an application executable. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fieres et al. teach that the hash includes executable components of the application (col. 9, line 25 - col. 10, line 23. Applicants further contend that claim 1 recites a process of checking the authorization and authenticity of an application and does not recite executing the application in a secured location. Examiner would like to point out that Fieres et al. teach a process of checking the authorization and authenticity of an application as shown in col. 2, line 53 - col. 3, line 6 with the extra feature of executing the application in a secured location for added security, i.e. Fieres et al. teach the claimed invention with more steps for security. Finally Applicants contend that Fieres et al. do not disclose that the application is signed by a domain authority, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fieres et al. teach that an application domain authority creates a certificate for the application where certificates contain the granting authority's key to show that it is a valid certificate, therefore it is identical to the domain authority signing the application (col. 6, lines 7-11). Therefore, it is the Examiner's conclusion that the claims, as presented, are not patentably distinct from the prior art of record.

Madir Choshusel. 3/1/2006

EMMANÜEL . MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER